



PSD SRO CAC – Meeting Notes
04/15
5:30pm – 7:30pm
Zoom

Agenda Item	Outcome / Decision
<p>Welcome and Process</p> <p>The meeting opened with a brief “all off mute” icebreaker to say hello and to dive into the work for the evening. After reviewing the overall purpose and outcomes for the evening--to reach agreement on final recommendations--it was confirmed that the group had reached consensus on reverting to a 75% voting model to pass any recommendation that did not reach full consensus.</p> <p>The facilitation team reviewed the norms with the group, reminding everyone of their importance as the group was likely to need to rely on them during these final discussions.</p>	<p>Next Steps: N/A</p> <p>Decision: Clarity on overall process was established and confirmation reached on the group’s agreement to shift to a vote if need be on items where consensus is not reached.</p>
<p>Where Law Enforcement Is Not Involved</p> <p><i>Purpose: Large group works inclusively to finalize recommendations around where law enforcement is not involved.</i></p> <p>The facilitation team explained that based on the input shared by the Google spreadsheets, the group had a few items to finish discussing but that consensus looked within potential reach for much of the work. The group began with the items in the “Law Enforcement Not Involved” section that were still in dispute. See final report for final language but following is a summary of topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assistance teaching; Amendment proposed 2. Questioning Students under 18 as part of an investigation: Amendment suggested to address imminent safety. 3. Mental Health holds <p>If time allows, the aspects with two 1s</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Build relationships 5. Risk threat assessments <p>Major Discussion Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAC members began to discuss whether they could make a recommendation when they know the Board will not be able to implement it because of Board policy or legal reasons. The CAC recognizes that they are just making recommendations - it is up to PSD whether or not to implement, change policies, etc. 	<p>Next Steps: N/A</p> <p>Decision: Consensus reached on each of the five topics discussed</p>



Where Law Enforcement Is Involved

Purpose: *Vote to finalize recommendation and capture dissent or caveats.*

The facilitation team then walked through the remaining discussion points about how to implement the recommendations they had now reached agreement on. Given the input on the Google spreadsheet in advance, there was only one option that appeared viable for reaching consensus: Option 2, shifting from an embedded model of law enforcement to a more responsive model. In the end, the group reached consensus to report out their vote on Option 2 but not to treat it as a recommendation, adding it instead as a final Implementation section in the report that followed the long list of recommendations that they did reach consensus on.

Major discussion points:

- The basic differences were about having SROs embedded in schools or not - however many other intricacies were also discussed and thus the group agreed to move to a summary of their discussion and deliberations in the report, with a summary of the majority and minority opinions.
- Four CAC members will be in the dissenting opinion
- The board committed to reading the full report
- The committee raised concerns that they would need to see the full report - especially the dissenting opinion - which currently felt to some to be more values based and they would like their values to also be represented. The facilitation team noted the principles and vision which were agreed upon via consensus during the previous meeting spoke to the group's values, but agreed to revise the majority statement to reflect the rationale for that position in a similar manner to the dissenting statement.

Next Steps: The facilitation team agreed to help the two presenters prepare for the board presentation. They will also work with the dissenting opinion members on creating a short paragraph on the dissenting opinion.

Decision: Consensus reached

Next Steps

The Civic Canopy clarified that it is responsible for the body of the report and the CAC is focused on recommendations.

The facilitation team noted that the group would get to see the whole draft as soon as possible, especially the draft dissent. They also noted that the group will be able to comment and those comments will be incorporated as much as possible. Specific comments will be footnoted wherever possible unless it gets overwhelming. The facilitation team reminded the CAC that they are not trying to come to consensus on the full report but do want it to reflect the CAC's work as well as possible so feedback is appreciated.

Closing and Next Steps



The meeting closed with CAC members stating what they were appreciative of in the process and in each other. Members spoke of the progress the group had made, how difficult the conversations were at times, and their appreciation for each other's views even when they didn't always agree.

CAC members filled out meeting feedback forms and the meeting ended at 7:40.