District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (All - 1 Year')

# **Accredited**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	77.1%	( 11.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.2%	( 24.6 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	( 7.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.4%	( 70.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	nts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.1%	97.6%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6140	5977	4010	16127	6167	6031	4108	16306
Mathematics	99.6%	99.5%	97.7%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6154	5997	4014	16165	6178	6030	4109	16317
Writing	99.6%	99.2%	97.7%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6153	5982	4014	16149	6176	6032	4108	16316
Science	99.8%	99.4%	97.9%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2068	2008	1988	6064	2072	2021	2030	6123
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1829	-	-	-	1858	-





Performance Indicators - PRELIN	VIII VAINT DIVALL	TORDISTRICT	INC VIEV				Level. Lie	mentary Schoo
District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	5983	81.16	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5986	78.15	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	5987	65.09	83	
Science	3	4		Meets	2013	63.29	82	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3736	54	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3769	52	40	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	3737	54	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	795	51	41	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
•					, v	Growth rescentile	Growth rescentile	GIOWLII:
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets	1102	A7	25	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1193	47	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	945	54	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	272	42	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	366	57	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	677	53	64	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1218	46	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	978	49	55	No
Students with Disabilities	11	4		Does Not Meet	277	31	82	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	396	55	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	718	47	79	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1199	46	51	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	947	51	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	274	36	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	367	54	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1259	51	61	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

2

Performance Indicators - PRELIN	MINARY DRAFT	FOR DISTRICT	KEVIEW				Level	: Middle Schoo
District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	5847	81.51	83	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	5867	70.27	93	
Writing	3	4		Meets	5852	71.63	88	
Science	3	4		Meets	1972	64.55	87	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5540	49	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5565	52	50	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	5546	51	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	250	48	51	No
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1655	44	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1375	46	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	391	42	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	591	44	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	981	48	66	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1668	47	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1384	50	67	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	403	41	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	597	44	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1309	51	92	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1658	43	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1377	46	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	395	41	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	593	48	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1433	50	78	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

3

Performance Indicators - PRELIMII	VARY DRAFT FO	R DISTRICT REV	IEW				Leve	el: High Schoo
District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	3908	77.69	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3910	46.55	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3911	64.74	86	
Science	3	4		Meets	1936	66.84	86	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	- <del> </del>	Meets	3591	49	8	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3579	45	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3593	48	27	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	142	52	78	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	1 12	32	,,	110
Total	3	17	U-1.570	IVICCIS				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	887	45	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	816	49	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	288	47	81	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	353	52	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	723	45	72	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	_	Does Not Meet	888	39	96	No
Minority Students	2	4	-	Approaching	813	41	89	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	294	40	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	356	44	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1461	45	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching			•	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	1370	Approaching	892	43	70	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	818	44	55	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	290	34	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	355	44	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1035	43	90	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching	1033			110
	. D. '. t. F						D.1./C	F
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	2025	N (2425/2444)	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	2025	/2081/ <i>2135</i> /2118	84/85.4/ <b><i>85.9</i></b> /84.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	4	50%	Approaching		0/540/522/404	CO 1 / 74 F/C7 2 / C4 70/	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1	-	Approaching		0/ <i>540</i> /523/484	69.1/ <i>71.5</i> /67.3/64.7%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		0/428/ <b>412</b> /379	75.2/75.2/ <b>76.7</b> /72.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		6/220/ <i>231</i> /238	63.3/70/ <b>74</b> /69.7%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching	11	3/144/131/ <i>135</i>	69/70.1/71.8/ <i>75.6</i> %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		13193	1.3	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		1829	22.1	20.0
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report

**Priority Improvement** 

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	. Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework P
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	eline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of	fall schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)	· .		Does Not Meet		1	1	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentile	and its median student growth perce	entile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth p	ercentile and its median student grow	rth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	- English	
	• at or above 70.		,	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	promenency,	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	n percentile and its median student gro	owth nercentile was:	Does Not Mices	<u>'</u>	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	percentile and its median stadeness.	orreit per certific tras.	Exceeds	I	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	nt growth percentile and its median sti	udent growth percentile was				subgroups in 3	15
Crowar Sups	• at or above 70.	egrover percentile and its median see	adente Bromen percentale mas	Exceeds	Ι	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The di	istrict's graduation rate/disaggres	gated graduation rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	1	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			•			16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness		line)		Meets		3	indicator)	
TRIOTEC REGUITESS	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 base)			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.	currey.		Does Not Meet	<b>t</b>	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Color	rado ACT composite score was:		Does Not Mices	1	<u>.                                      </u>	1	
	• at or above 22.	rado rier composite score was.		Exceeds	1	4	†	
	• at or above 22.  • at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 basel	ine)		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 basel			Approaching		2	1	
	below 17.      below 17.      below 17.      below 17.      below 17.      below 17.	inc).		Does Not Meet		1	1	
Points for each ne	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for accre		accianma	nt		
	t Point: The district earned $\cdots$ of the points eligible on this In	dicator.					the total Framework points eli	gible.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%		J. 31 (	and to tak i rumovork pomes co	Distinction
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		at or above 64%		)%		Accredited
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	at or above 52%				Improvemen
	1 1 22 20	- Aprioacining		-+ 420/				ad a side a base as a second

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Does Not Meet

**Points** 

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

## Reference - PRELIMINARY DRAFT FOR DISTRICT REVIEW

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading Math			Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English Learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1550

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English Learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.7	82.2	83.1	84.1
Anticipated Year	2009	82.5	84.7	85.9	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	85.4		
	2011	84			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	55.2	60.6	62.7	64.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.5	65.2	67.3	
of Graduation	2010	64.2	71.5		
	2011	69.1			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.6	68.1	70.6	72.3
Anticipated Year	2009	70.1	75.1	76.7	
of Graduation	2010	69.2	75.2		
	2011	75.2			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.1	67.7	67.9	69.7
Anticipated Year	2009	68.2	70.3	74	
of Graduation	2010	62.3	70		
	2011	63.3			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	70.1	73.5	<i>75.6</i>
Anticipated Year	2009	61.1	70.2	71.8	
of Graduation	2010	62.8	70.1		
	2011	69			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.7	82.2	83.1	84.1
Anticipated Year	2009	82.5	84.7	85.9	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	85.4		
	2011	84			
	Aggregated	82.1	84.1	84.5	84.1

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	55.2	60.6	62.7	64.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.5	65.2	67.3	
of Graduation	2010	64.2	71.5		
	2011	69.1			
	Aggregated	61.9	65.9	65.1	64.7

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.6	68.1	70.6	72.3
Anticipated Year	2009	70.1	75.1	76.7	
of Graduation	2010	69.2	75.2		
	2011	75.2			
	Aggregated	69.6	72.9	73.7	72.3

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.1	67.7	67.9	69.7
Anticipated Year	2009	68.2	70.3	74	
of Graduation	2010	62.3	70		
	2011	63.3			
	Aggregated	64	69.3	70.9	69.7

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	70.1	73.5	75.6
Anticipated Year	2009	61.1	70.2	71.8	
of Graduation	2010	62.8	70.1		
	2011	69			
	Aggregated	64.1	70.1	72.7	75.6

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4-year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 1550