

Questions & Answers - 1

Solicitation 20-700-003 - Turf Replacement Design Services
Buying Organization Poudre School District

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q1	<p>Question: Qualification We want to clarify that a vendor has to be within 150 miles is correct. Can you confirm?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, per section 2.2 of the RFQu, vendor must have an established office within one-hundred and fifty (150) miles of Fort Collins, Colorado at the time of proposal submission.</p>	10/29/2019
Q2	<p>Question: Geotechnical Services The RFP states that Poudre School District will be providing geotechnical services separately from the Consultant. Has this service already been procured or will a separate RFP be released at a later time?</p> <p>Answer: The District will procure geotechnical services at a later time.</p>	10/29/2019
Q3	<p>Question: Vendor office requirements We are a recreational commercial contractor. We are interesting in submitting a bid for your guys projects but under the minimum qualification requirements 2.2 Vendor must have an established office within one-hundred and fifty (150) miles of Fort Collins, Colorado at the time of proposal submission. We are located in Pueblo Colorado, would you consider extending the range to include southern Colorado?</p> <p>Answer: The District will solicit contractor services for turf replacement after the design work is complete. For this RFQu 20-700-003, the District is looking only for professional design services, not a contractor to do a design-build. Per section 2.2 of the RFQu, vendor must have an established office within one-hundred and fifty (150) miles of Fort Collins, Colorado at the time of proposal submission.</p>	11/01/2019
Q4	<p>Question: Erosion Control / SWMP Since land disturbance and soil exposure is estimated to exceed 1 acre, is PSD considering that they will need a construction stormwater discharge permit with the CDPHE? Does PSD want the contractor to obtain the permit or the consultant team?</p> <p>The City of Ft. Collins is an MS4 community that requires review of the erosion control design and stormwater management plan if land disturbance exceeds 10,000 sf. Is PSD considering that they will need to submit the appropriate documents for review by the City? The anticipated documents would include a stormwater management plan, erosion control plan sheets, and an erosion control escrow/security calculation.</p> <p>Work at the sites would likely not be allowed until City approval of erosion control plans / SWMP, etc., which could impact project schedules.</p> <p>Answer: The District is listed on the Fort Collins MS4 permit and work with them on erosion control often and do anticipate the need for a permit on these projects. Ultimately the contractor will need to submit a permit application to the State but the consultant should anticipate the need for erosion control details on the plan sets.</p>	11/04/2019
Q5	<p>Question: Page count? Is there a maximum page count for proposals?</p> <p>Answer: There is not a maximum page count for responses.</p>	11/08/2019

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q6	<p>Question: Forms in the proposals Can you please clarify which forms should be completed and included in the proposal?</p> <p>Answer: Responses should include the information and forms requested in section 3.0 of the RFQu document. Please note a revised Exhibit D (Sample Fee Schedule) was published with Addendum 1 on 11/11/2019.</p>	11/08/2019
Q7	<p>Question: Geotech services Can you please clarify who is responsible for geotech for design recommendations, the Architect or the District?</p> <p>Answer: The District will be responsible for geotechnical services and will procure those services at a later time.</p>	11/08/2019
Q8	<p>Question: Can you issue CAD files Can CAD files for the surveys be issued for the consultants to review the extent of grading and storm work required?</p> <p>Answer: The District has CAD files available for the (3) locations, but is unable to uploaded these files to BidNet in the CAD format. The CAD files will be made available to the awarded design firm.</p>	11/08/2019
Q9	<p>Question: Section 3.1 organization Can you please clarify the organization of 3.1 for our proposal? Is the intent for 3.1 to be a single letter covering all the items listed under 3.1? If so, how should Exhibit C be included in a letter since it is a standalone form? Or is 3.1 intended to be a section of our proposal which includes all the items listed under it?</p> <p>Answer: The items contained in section 3.1 can either be included within the letter or provided as supporting documents to the letter. Exhibit C can be attached to the letter and supporting documentation or included as an exhibit/appendix at the end of the RFQu response. Please ensure each section and exhibit within your response are clearly labeled.</p>	11/08/2019
Q10	<p>Question: Exhibit C form Should the completed form for Exhibit C be included as the first thing under section 3.1 of our proposal, or should it be referenced in 3.1 and fully included at the end in an Appendix?</p> <p>Answer: Either way is acceptable. Please ensure each section and exhibit/appendix within your response are clearly labeled.</p>	11/08/2019
Q11	<p>Question: Lincoln Middle School - CSU Turf Reuse Regarding the used turf from CSU's stadium, "CSU's 2-year-old football stadium will have a new playing field next fall at no additional cost, school officials said. The artificial turf field, installed in spring 2017 during the initial construction of Canvas Stadium, did not meet the required percolation performance specifications." Do we know the reason for failing the percolation test? It could be several factors including the turf itself, installation, or underlayment. The answer to this will direct the design for its reuse.</p> <p>Answer: It is the District's understanding the poor drainage was due to poor sub-grade conditions, not the performance of the turf.</p>	11/14/2019

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q12	<p>Question: Warranty of the field at Lincoln Middle School</p> <p>Are there expectations for the warranty on the field at Lincoln Middle School? Will Shaw be transferring their current warranty from the field at CSU?</p> <p>Answer: The District will carry the warranty on the turf on the field at Lincoln Middle School only. The awarded turf installer will be responsible for the installation warranty.</p>	11/14/2019
Q13	<p>Question: Lincoln Middle Used Turf</p> <p>For the used CSU turf that will be utilized for Lincoln Middle, installation costs can be quite high for used turf. When the District moves forward with a solicitation for the turf itself, after the design is complete, would the District consider new turf for the Lincoln Middle School location?</p> <p>Answer: No. The District is not considering new turf for the Lincoln Middle School field.</p>	11/14/2019
Q14	<p>Question: Submittal Requirements</p> <p>Now that the "Revised Fee Schedule" has been issued in Addendum 1, are the task breakdowns indicated 3.4.5, page 6 of the RFQu now not required/needed?</p> <p>Answer: The task breakdown as originally requested in section 3.4.5 of the RFQu document will no longer be needed.</p>	11/14/2019
Q15	<p>Question: REVISED FEE SCHEDULE & HOURLY RATES</p> <p>There are no "blanks" on the Fee Schedule included with Addendum 1 to write in hourly rates. Are hourly rates not needed or should they be included somewhere else in the RFQu response?</p> <p>Answer: Please provide hourly rates, where applicable, as requested in section 3.4.4 of the RFQu document and attach to the submitted fee schedule.</p>	11/14/2019